

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC PLUS MORE)

(1998) KLR VOL 1 PART 57 pp. 129 -286

JANUARY 1998 CONTD.

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

6. Okelola v. Boyle p. 129
7. Daodu v. N.N.P.C. p. 167
8. Babayagi v. Bida p. 185
9. Federal Housing Authority v. Abosede p. 203
10. Offor v. Osagie II p. 217
11. Kala v. Potiskum p. 231

COURT OF APPEAL CASES

1. Edun v. Akinleye p. 253
2. Indieriyo v. The State p. 273

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1998) 1 KLR**

APPEALS - Grounds of appeal - Issues for determination not arising out of - And arguments on any issues not predicated thereon - Will be discountenanced. *Okelola v. Boyle* p. 129

APPEALS - Extension of time to appeal - Good reasons for failure to appeal within time must be shown - Grounds of appeal must prima facie show good cause - Before the application will be granted. *Federal Housing Authority v. Abosede* p. 203

APPEALS - Leave of court - Retrial - Alternative prayer for an order of retrial - Leave of Supreme Court is not necessary to raising the prayer. *Kala v. Potiskum* p. 231

APPEALS - Issue - That cannot be related to any ground of appeal - And without obtaining leave of court - Will be struck out. *Kala v. Potiskum* p. 231

APPEALS - Dismissal of appeal for want of prosecution - Where appellants failed to file his brief within the stipulated time - And the application for dismissal was served on him - Dismissal pursuant to O.6 r. 10 Court of Appeal Rules - Will not be disturbed. *Babayagi v. Bida* p. 185

APPEALS - Issues - Formulation by court - Court of Appeals observation on visit to the locus in quo - Does not amount to formulating issues for the parties. *Daodu v. N.N.P.C.* P. 167

APPEALS - Dismissal of appeal - Default in filing brief of appeal - Failure to apply for extension of time - Dismissal of the appeal for want of prosecution cannot be revived under the Rules. *Babayagi v. Bida* p. 185

APPEALS - Extension of time to appeal - Proposed grounds of appeal - Did not merit the grant of the application. *Federal Housing Authority v. Abosede* p. 203

APPEALS - Extension of time to appeal - Where no cogent reason for the delay was given - The application was rightly refused. *Federal Housing Authority v. Abosede* p. 203

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Settlement of chieftaincy disputes - Though

it can be vide a domestic forum - An aggrieved person should have free access to court. Offor v. Osagie II P. 217

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Chieftaincy matters - Chieftaincy law that seeks to circumscribe the provisions of the Constitution - Is void and of no effect. Offor v. Osagie II P. 217

DOCUMENTS - Wills - The maxim Omnia praesumuntur rite esse acta - Only applies with force - Where the Will is entirely regular and no suspicion attaches thereto. Okelola v. Boyle p. 129

EVIDENCE - Burden of proof - Of the due execution of a Will - And the testamentary capacity to do so - Lies on the propounder of the Will - And then the onus shifts to the challenger. Okelola v. Boyle p. 129

EVIDENCE - Burden of proof - Rests on the plaintiff who asserted the affirmative - And he failed to discharge it in this case. Daodu v. N.N.P.C. P. 167

EVIDENCE - Prima facie case - Where not made out by plaintiff against the defendants - Plaintiff's claims against them ought to be dismissed - Without hearing the case of the defendants. Daodu v. N.N.P.C. P. 167

EVIDENCE - Inter pleader proceedings - Hearsay - Evidence of the judgment creditor being hearsay - Is not credible to establish his case. Kala v. Potiskum p. 231

JURISDICTION - Chieftaincy matters - Powers of the high court - The Edict in issue derogates from the powers of the high court - To entertain Chieftaincy suits. Offor v. Osagie II P. 217

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Inter pleader proceedings - The burden is generally on the claimant to prove his claim - As done by the 1st respondent in this case. Kala v. Potiskum p. 231

SUCCESSION - Wills - Due execution of - And the testamentary capacity to do so - The burden of proof lies on the propounder of the Will - Then the onus would shift to the challenger. Okelola v. Boyle p. 129

SUCCESSION - Wills - Validity thereof - It is essential that the testator

should know and approve of its contents - Even if it is prepared by a legal practitioner. *Okelola v. Boyle* p. 129

TORTS - Public nuisance - Right of action - Of a private individual - Is available if he can prove that - He has sustained particular damage other than and beyond what might have been suffered by the general public. *Daodu v. N.N.P.C.* P. 167

COURT OF APPEAL CASES

ACTIONS - Discontinuance of action - Notice of discontinuance filed after the date fixed for hearing - Plaintiff cannot discontinue as of right - But must obtain leave of court. *Edun v. Akinleye* p. 253 CA

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Prior Order that case cannot be returned to the list without leave of court - Subsequent proceedings before another judge without complying with the condition precedent - Renders the proceedings a nullity for want of jurisdiction. *Edun v. Akinleye* p. 253 CA

COURTS - Nullity of proceedings - Proceedings of a subsequent trial judge - That sort to overrule previous order by another judge - Is a nullity. *Edun v. Akinleye* p. 253 CA

COURTS - Judgments - Court setting aside its enrolled judgment - Is only possible where the judgment was given without jurisdiction. *Edun v. Akinleye* p. 253 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Agent provocateur - Giving of gratification to a public servant - The giver in this case is not a victim but an agent provocateur. *Indieriyo v. The State* p. 273 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction - Substituting the appellant's conviction under another section of the Code - Cannot be done where the prosecution's evidence is inconclusive. *Indieriyo v. The State* p. 273 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Contradictions - Receiving gratification by a public servant - Failure to establish at what stage the money was received

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1998) 1 KLR CA vii

- Coupled with unresolved contradictions show that the conviction was wrong. *Indieriyo v. The State* p. 273 CA

CRIMINAL LAW - Receiving gratification by a public servant - The nature of the offence - Calls for serious warning and corroboration before conviction. *Indieriyo v. The State* p. 273 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Doubt - Created by lacuna in the prosecution's case and conflict in witnesses' testimonies - Should be resolved in the accused person's favour. *Indieriyo v. The State* p. 273 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Identification of marked currency notes - Failure of the prosecution witness to identify them - Without any explanation - Is detrimental to the prosecution's case. *Indieriyo v. The State* p. 273 CA

EVIDENCE - Conflict - In the evidence of prosecution witnesses - Explanation should be offered by the prosecution - And not the trial judge. *Indieriyo v. The State* p. 273 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of Nigeria 1979 s. 236(1) *Offor v. Osagie II* P. 217; s. 213(3) *Babayagi v. Bida* p. 185

Court of Appeal Rules O. 3 r. 4 (ii) *Federal Housing Authority v. Abosede* p. 203; O.6 r. 10 *Babayagi v. Bida* p. 185

Court of Appeal Act. s. 16 *Babayagi v. Bida* p. 185

Evidence Act 1990 ss. 135(1) (2) 136, 137 (1) (2) (3) *Kala v. Potiskum* p. 231; ss. 76, 132(1) *Kala v. Potiskum* p. 231

Illiterates Protection Law s. 5 *Okelola v. Boyle* p. 129

viii INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES IN (1998) 1 KLR

Supreme Court Rules O. 6 r. 8 (vii) Federal Housing Authority v. Abosede p. 203; O. 8 r. 16, O.6 r. 9(1) Babayagi v. Bida p. 185; O.6 r. 8(6) Offor v. Osagie II P. 217

Traditional Rulers and Chiefs Edict No. 16 of 1979 of Bendel State s. 22 (2) (3) (4) & (6) Offor v. Osagie II P. 217

Wills Acts 1837 and 1852 Okelola v. Boyle p. 129

Wills Law Cap. 141 Law of Lagos State 1973 ss. 3(1), 21 Okelola v. Boyle p. 129

COURT OF APPEAL

Federal Court of Appeal Decree 1976 s. 20(1) Indieriyo v. The State p. 273

Penal Code ss. 115, 119 Indieriyo v. The State p. 273

Supreme Court Rules Order XLIV r. 1(1) Edun v. Akinleye p. 253